
Flock Cameras

From |
Date Sat 8/15/2026 4:40 PM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

I am the homeowner at 18 Tennis Village. I have started this email regarding the reasons the Flock cameras should be removed multiple times. The foreign ownership of the company; the warrantless search and surveillance, likely to be in conflict with both older and last week's decision from the United States Supreme Court; the well-documented and extensive abuses from other communities where they are installed; the incompatibility with traditional American notions of privacy and fair play; and the fact that our data is then sold down a data chain thru a contract by our own police department are only a few of the reasons the Flock and any other brand of surveillance camera should be removed.

In addition, the police chief was interviewed by a member of our HOA board and stated the reason he spent our budget on this contract w/o prior approval was bcz the cameras created "force multiplication" to deal with crime, specifically domestic violence and auto theft. Balderdash. First, DV by definition is committed by an assailant known to the victim. The victim knows the identity and the probable location of any perpetrator not still on scene. Flock does not have a feature that permits the police to enter a desired license plate # and have it "ping" or give notice when that license drives by. So the police will have to do the job the traditional way anyway. As for car theft, that does not seem to be such a prevalent problem that we should be spending thousands of dollars each year on a system to respond to less than 10 calls a year. Again, bcz Flock does not ping or provide notice, the system can not assist local police in searching for reports of stolen cars from outside Sunriver being driven into Sunriver, assuming that is a problem of which I am unaware. It seems unlikely a secret chop shop is being operated in Sunriver. The police will still have to drive around w the list of stolen license plates provided at fall-out or over the radio. There is no "force multiplication" from Flock as claimed to our HOA.

I could expand this email significantly with citations to law enforcement groups, civil liberties groups, and data management groups. However, it is unlikely that would be helpful at this time. Should you desire it, please let me know.

Until then, list me as adamantly against the cameras.

Respectfully,

Carmen Clark

Flock Camera vote

From ^
Date Fri 8/14/2026 11:52 AM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Board Members,

I hope the board reads this correspondence.

I am writing as an owner of Sunriver to formally object to the proposed Flock Cameras, and to strongly urge you to remove this item from any bulk or consent vote and instead allow for genuine public comment and discussion before any decision is made.

Sunriver is a small resort community that depends on visitors feeling welcome, not watched. A decision of this magnitude, one that involves ongoing surveillance of every vehicle entering and leaving our town, deserves an open hearing, not a quiet approval buried in a batch of routine items. Residents and property owners who fund this district have a right to weigh in before, not after, a contract is signed.

There are several reasons I believe this technology is the wrong fit for Sunriver:

Our crime rate does not justify this level of surveillance. Blanket license plate tracking is a tool built for high crime urban corridors. That is not Sunriver!

It changes the character of Sunriver. Part of what draws people to live and vacation here is the feeling of an unhurried and low key lifestyle. Camera arrays at an entry point sends the opposite message, to both visitors and residents.

Data sharing is largely outside local control. Once license plate data is collected, it often flows into a searchable, shared network accessed by outside agencies. Cities have found that Flock enabled "nationwide" or "statewide" data sharing settings without the local agency's knowledge, resulting in hundreds of thousands of searches by outside agencies that never had a data agreement with the city at all.

The technology makes mistakes with real consequences. License plate readers misread plates. A misread character has led to wrongful stops and, in at least one case, serious physical harm to an innocent driver.

It creates a permanent, searchable record of everyone's movements. Even residents and seasonal visitors who have done nothing wrong become part of a database that logs where they drove and when, indefinitely. What a violation.

The cost is ongoing, not one time. Flock operates on a subscription model. The financial commitment does not end at installation. It continues year after year, and those costs compete with other district priorities.

Oversight of who accesses the data is weak. Because any officer can query the system without a warrant or documented reason, misuse is difficult to prevent and often only discovered after the fact.

On the point of misuse, Milwaukee provides a clear example. A police officer was found to have used the Flock system to track the location of his romantic partner 124 times and that partner's ex 55 times, according to a criminal complaint. He resigned and pleaded guilty to attempted misconduct in public office. Shortly after, one of the very detectives who investigated that officer was himself arrested for misusing the same system.

On the financial risk, Toledo, Ohio offers a cautionary example. A Flock camera misread a license plate, which led to a driver being mauled by a police dog. He said the injuries cost him his job and his housing. The city settled the resulting lawsuit for \$35,000. Beyond individual settlements, cities and counties across the country are now facing class action lawsuits and Attorney General enforcement actions over how this data has been shared and stored, exposing local governments to costs well beyond the initial contract price. Do not think that the people who live here or visit here will not take legal action if these cameras violate their civil rights and mistakes are made with them. This will cost us all.

Given the financial, legal, and privacy risks involved, and given that this decision would affect every resident and visitor who drives through Sunriver, I ask the board to:

- Remove this item from any bulk or consent agenda vote
- Schedule a dedicated agenda item with time for public comment
- Share the proposed contract terms, costs, and data sharing policies with residents in advance
- Allow the community a genuine opportunity to be heard before any final decision

Thank you for your time and consideration. I hope the board will choose transparency and public input over a rushed vote on a decision this consequential.

Sincerely,

Traci O'Hearn



Outlook

Public Comment for August 20 Board Meeting — Flock Cameras

From

Date Fri 8/14/2026 9:56 AM

To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members:

Please include this letter in the official packet for the Board of Directors meeting scheduled for August 20, 2026.

I am writing to express my concern for and opposition to the use of Flock cameras. Cities around the country, including in Oregon, are currently reevaluating their decision to install Flock cameras. I commend and support this reexamination. In my view, local elected officials can best protect their constituents from the inevitable abuses of Flock cameras by removing them from their communities. I seriously urge the Board to protect the privacy of the Sunriver community by **terminating the contract** with Flock and permanently removing these cameras. You are smart folks, so you likely know that every dystopian futuristic novel starts with the implementation and usage of mass government surveillance cameras like these, so let us be smart by not choosing to go down this path.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Borninski

Resident of Oregon and frequent visitor of Sunriver (multiple times perennially, and family of longtime Sunriver owners)



Outlook

Please consider terminating agreement with Flock

From .

Date Fri 8/14/2026 10:12 AM

To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Admin,

I'm writing to encourage you to terminate the service agreement with Flock. I am a property owner and longtime visitor and part-time resident in Sunriver. We recently invested in updating our Sunriver home inside and out because we believe that Sunriver is exceptional.

Sunriver is special because of the land and location, but also because the governance has navigated a path that instills value into the community. This shows in decisions like investment in the paths and parks, SHARC, Member Pool, the Dark Sky designation, the Marina, the Nature Center, and the Observatory, and much more. Updating infrastructure for modern fiber, and forming committees to be thoughtful about the adoption of technology also shows wise stewardship.

It is in this spirit that I encourage you to review what the relationship with Flock gains Sunriver as opposed to what Flock takes from our community. I won't spend time reviewing Flock's many flaws - I am sure you are already aware - instead I ask you to consider that Flock is not a partner that fits with Sunriver.

Best wishes,
John Keane



Outlook

Aug 20th board meeting public comment

From

Date Wed 8/12/2026 2:19 PM

To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Hello,

I am writing to call for the removal of any Flock (or other similar) cameras in Sunriver. My family visits Sunriver yearly and has since I was a child and I was disgusted to learn that Flock cameras have been put up. I don't want to have to worry about the fascist surveillance state when I'm trying to have a nice vacation! Those cameras are evil and have repeatedly been abused by cops tracking their exes, ICE, and Texas anti-abortion lawyers. Sunriver isn't even a dangerous place, anyone saying that ai data harvesting cameras are needed for public safety is out of their mind!

Ally Kershner, concerned Sunriver visitor



Outlook

Public Comment for August 20th Board Meeting - Flock ALPR Cameras

From >
Date Thu 8/13/2026 10:26 PM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Board Members,

I am concerned about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs) in the community. I recognize tools like this have their place in helping keep the community safe, but I believe the Flock cameras create an unacceptable privacy risk for residents and visitors in Sunriver.

I urge the Board to terminate the contract with Flock and remove these cameras to protect the community and individual privacy.

Concerned Sunriver Visitor

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

Flock

From Molly >
Date Wed 8/12/2026 9:39 AM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

While flock in theory is great, in actuality it is horrific for the following reasons.

Mass Surveillance:

Cameras track the daily movements of everyday people who have done nothing wrong.

Data Retention:

Private companies and police store millions of location records for weeks or months.

False Alarms:

Errors in reading plates or outdated database entries lead police to pull over innocent drivers at gunpoint.

****DO RESEARCH ON WHAT HAS ALREADY HAPPENED.**

Lack of Control:

Private companies own the tech, making public accountability and transparency very weak.

This will end up badly. They are a lawsuit waiting to happen. Please write in saying you oppose them.

This will be a test for our local government. Will you do the right thing by us, or do you want to violate us?

Please end ties with flock.

Public Comment for August 20th Board Meeting — Flock ALPR Cameras

From ,
Date Tue 8/11/2026 5:26 PM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Please include this letter in the official correspondence packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, recreation, and relaxation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance

infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the contract with Flock and removing these cameras.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

- A concerned Sunriver neighbor

NO FLOCK!

From [REDACTED]
Date Tue 8/11/2026 6:09 PM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

Please include this letter in the official packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the contract with Flock and removing these cameras. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kristy Kwan
Deschutes County Resident and small business owner

Public Comment for August 20th Board Meeting — Flock ALPR Cameras

From i
Date Tue 8/11/2026 6:41 PM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

Please include this letter in the official packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the

contract with Flock and removing these cameras. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Madeline Meisburger

Get Outlook for iOS

From: SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, 11 August 2026 19:25:30

To:

Subject: Fw: Public Comment for August 20th Board Meeting — Flock ALPR Cameras

Get Outlook for iOS

From:

Sent: Tuesday, 11 August 2026 19:16:20

To: SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Subject: Public Comment for August 20th Board Meeting — Flock ALPR Cameras

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

Please include this letter in the official packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the contract with Flock and removing these cameras. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Sydney Rubbert

Concerned Deschutes County Resident

Public Comment for August 20th Board Meeting — Flock ALPR Cameras

From :
Date Wed 8/12/2026 4:03 PM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

Please include this letter in the official packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver and Three Rivers community by terminating the contract with Flock and removing these cameras. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kim Dohner

Concerned Three Rivers Resident and Regular Sunriver Visitor

Flock Cameras = No

From _____
Date Wed 8/12/2026 7:30 PM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

Please include this letter in the official packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

Yeah, this is a form letter, but also, I'm concerned enough to direct this toward your attention.

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the contract with Flock and removing these cameras. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Christian Meyer

Flock Camera Concerns

From

Date Thu 8/13/2026 5:47 AM

To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the contract with Flock and removing these cameras. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Austin Cunningham
OWW2

Public Comment for August 20th Board Meeting — Flock ALPR Cameras

From I
Date Thu 8/13/2026 2:32 PM
To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

Please include this letter in the official packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, visitors or workers of Sunriver to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the

contract with Flock and removing these cameras. I realize that this technology was presented in a way that makes it seem harmless and secure, but after multiple data breaches in secure databases such as banks; is a cause for a lack in faith that any database is secure.

Thank you in advance for removing these cameras and any access that criminals would have to use against the hard working people of Sunriver.

Sincerely,

Public Comment for August 20th Board Meeting — Flock ALPR Cameras

From

Date Fri 8/14/2026 9:47 AM

To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

Please include this letter in the official packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the contract with Flock and removing these cameras. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Borninski

30 year Sunriver Visitor and anti-surveillance state advocate



Outlook

Flock cameras

From .

Date Fri 8/14/2026 9:14 AM

To SSD Admin <ssdadmin@sunriversdor.gov>

Dear Sunriver Service District Board Members,

Please include this letter in the official packet for the August 20th, 2026, Board of Directors meeting.

I am writing to express my concern about the use of Flock Automatic License Plate Readers (ALPRs). Although I recognize the effort to try and make Sunriver a safer community, the installation of Flock mass surveillance cameras has created unacceptable privacy risks for residents, tourists, and business owners of Sunriver. The State of Oregon Senate Bill 1516 has restrictions on ALPRs, such as enforced police logging of camera data usage, deletion of data after 30 days, and liability for misuse of data sharing. These guardrails are helpful, but they do not stop the public breach of privacy. Flock cameras not only track license plates, but also the make, model, color, and unique identifying markers of a vehicle. Tracking a vehicle is essentially tracking the driver. Though the data is required to be deleted after 30 days, this still allows more than enough time to analyze and map an innocent person's pattern of life.

Furthermore, another problem of creating such an invasive, searchable database of movements is the potential for technological stalking and abuse. Nationwide, civil rights investigations have exposed numerous instances where individuals with system access have misused ALPR logs to secretly track romantic interests, ex-partners, and private citizens. By establishing a permanent digital tracker at our community's borders, we allow a highly efficient tool for personal surveillance. With Flock cameras stationed at the entrances to Sunriver, there is no way for residents, business owners, or visitors to opt out of this continuous tracking.

SB 1516 actually introduces administrative and legal liabilities for a small district like ours. The law allows private citizens the right to sue for data misuse, which exposes the Sunriver Service District to financial risk if the vendor experiences a data breach or an audit failure. The strict auditing and logging mandates also create an increase in administrative workload, diverting law enforcement resources away from active, community-facing policing. Other Oregon communities have already recognized that these risks outweigh the benefits. Notably, our neighbors on the Bend City Council chose to terminate their Flock camera program early due to resident outcry over mass surveillance and data security. Sunriver—a community built on hospitality, and recreation—should not subject its residents and tourists to invasive tracking and stalking that nearby major cities have actively rejected.

Will the Board commit to putting a formal review of the Flock ALPR contract on a future meeting agenda so that residents and business owners can publicly voice their perspectives on this surveillance infrastructure?

I urge the Board to protect the privacy and freedom of the Sunriver community by terminating the contract with Flock and removing these cameras. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Doug and Alice Kristensen